

Attention and Interruption

MICHAEL BERNSTEIN

SPRING 2013

cs376.stanford.edu



Attention and Interruption

MICHAEL BERNSTEIN

SPRING 2013

cs376.stanford.edu



“In an information-rich world, **the wealth of information means a dearth of something else**: a scarcity of whatever it is that information consumes.

- Herb Simon

“In an information-rich world, **the wealth of information means a dearth of something else:** a scarcity of whatever it is that information consumes.

What information consumes is rather obvious: **it consumes the attention of its recipients.**

- Herb Simon

“In an information-rich world, **the wealth of information means a dearth of something else**: a scarcity of whatever it is that information consumes.

What information consumes is rather obvious: **it consumes the attention of its recipients.**

Hence **a wealth of information creates a poverty of attention** and a need to allocate that attention efficiently among the overabundance of information sources that might consume it.”

- Herb Simon

“In an information-rich world, **the wealth of information means a dearth of something else**: a scarcity of whatever it is that information consumes.

What information consumes is rather obvious: **it consumes the attention of its recipients.**

Hence **a wealth of information creates a poverty of attention** and a need to allocate that attention efficiently among the overabundance of information sources that might consume it.”

- Herb Simon

Why study attention?

- Understand: how is technology impacting our ability to get things done?
- Design: help people manage their attention

Attention

Task Switching

[González and Mark, CHI '04]

- Method: observation of 14 knowledge workers
- Research question: how do they organize their work and tasks?
- Results:
 - Switch tool every two minutes
 - Switch task every three minutes
 - Switch working sphere every ten minutes

Task Switching

[González and Mark, CHI '04]

- Method: observation of 14 knowledge workers
- Research question: how do they organize their work and tasks?
- Results:
 - Switch tool every two minutes
 - Switch task every three minutes
 - Switch working sphere every ten minutes

Task Switching

[González and Mark, CHI '04]

- Method: observation of 14 knowledge workers
- Research question: how do they organize their work and tasks?
- Results:

Task Switching

[González and Mark, CHI '04]

- Method: observation of 14 knowledge workers
- Research question: how do they organize their work and tasks?
- Results:
 - Switch tool every two minutes

Task Switching

[González and Mark, CHI '04]

- Method: observation of 14 knowledge workers
- Research question: how do they organize their work and tasks?
- Results:
 - Switch tool every two minutes
 - Switch task every three minutes

Task Switching

[González and Mark, CHI '04]

- Method: observation of 14 knowledge workers
- Research question: how do they organize their work and tasks?
- Results:
 - Switch tool every two minutes
 - Switch task every three minutes
 - Switch working sphere every ten minutes

The cost of multitasking

[Ophir, Nass, Wagner, PNAS '09]

- People who self-report as **high multitaskers** are actually **worse at multitasking**
- Proposed mechanism: worse at filtering out irrelevant stimuli

The cost of email multitasking

[Mark, Vaida and Cardello, CHI '12]

- How is email usage impacting attention management?
- Method: cut off all email usage from employees for five days

The cost of email multitasking

[Mark, Vaida and Cardello, CHI '12]

- How is email usage impacting attention management?
- Method: cut off all email usage from employees for five days
- Results

The cost of email multitasking

[Mark, Vaida and Cardello, CHI '12]

- How is email usage impacting attention management?
- Method: cut off all email usage from employees for five days
- Results
 - Less multitasking

The cost of email multitasking

[Mark, Vaida and Cardello, CHI '12]

- How is email usage impacting attention management?
- Method: cut off all email usage from employees for five days
- Results
 - Less multitasking
 - Longer task focus

The cost of email multitasking

[Mark, Vaida and Cardello, CHI '12]

- How is email usage impacting attention management?
- Method: cut off all email usage from employees for five days
- Results
 - Less multitasking
 - Longer task focus
 - Less stress (as measured by heart rate monitors)

Interruption

Interruption

The cost of interruption

[Mark, González, and Harris, CHI '05]

The cost of interruption

[Mark, González, and Harris, CHI '05]

- 57% of working spheres get interrupted

The cost of interruption

[Mark, González, and Harris, CHI '05]

- 57% of working spheres get interrupted
- After an interruption...

The cost of interruption

[Mark, González, and Harris, CHI '05]

- 57% of working spheres get interrupted
- After an interruption...
 - Two intervening activities before resuming

The cost of interruption

[Mark, González, and Harris, CHI '05]

- 57% of working spheres get interrupted
- After an interruption...
 - Two intervening activities before resuming
 - **25 minutes** before resuming

Sensing interruptability

[Fogarty et al., TOCHI '05]

- Goal: build a model of human interruptability from available sensors

Sensing interruptability

[Fogarty et al., TOCHI '05]

- Goal: build a model of human interruptability from available sensors
- Gathered ground truth interruptability using experience sampling every ~2hr

Sensing interruptability

[Fogarty et al., TOCHI '05]

- Goal: build a model of human interruptability from available sensors
- Gathered ground truth interruptability using experience sampling every ~2hr
 - Chance accuracy at predicting “Highly non-interruptable”: 68%

Sensing interruptability

[Fogarty et al., TOCHI '05]

- Goal: build a model of human interruptability from available sensors
- Gathered ground truth interruptability using experience sampling every ~2hr
 - Chance accuracy at predicting “Highly non-interruptable”: 68%
 - People viewing audio and video: 77%

Sensing interruptability

[Fogarty et al., TOCHI '05]

- Goal: build a model of human interruptability from available sensors
- Gathered ground truth interruptability using experience sampling every ~2hr
 - Chance accuracy at predicting “Highly non-interruptable”: 68%
 - People viewing audio and video: 77%
 - Trained machine learning model: 82%

Sensing interruptability

[Fogarty et al., TOCHI '05]

- Goal: build a model of human interruptability from available sensors
- Gathered ground truth interruptability using experience sampling every ~2hr
 - Chance accuracy at predicting “Highly non-interruptable”: 68%
 - People viewing audio and video: 77%
 - Trained machine learning model: 82%
- Most highly-predictive feature: any talking

Sensing interruptability

[Fogarty et al., TOCHI '05]

- Goal: build a model of human interruptability from available sensors
- Gathered ground truth interruptability using experience sampling every ~2hr
 - Chance accuracy at predicting “Highly non-interruptable”: 68%
 - People viewing audio and video: 77%
 - Trained machine learning model: 82%
- Most highly-predictive feature: any talking